

Two hours

**UNIVERSITY OF MANCHESTER
SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE**

Symbolic AI

Date: Wednesday 31st May 2017

Time: 09:45 - 11:45

Please answer any THREE Questions from the FOUR Questions provided.

This is a CLOSED book examination

The use of electronic calculators is NOT permitted

[PTO]

1. Prolog

- a) Explain what is meant by *negation-as-failure* in logic programming. What result is returned by the following call in Prolog?

`1 ?- A \= a.` (3 marks)

- b) Define `not/1` using `call/1`, `!/0` (cut) and `fail/0`. (3 marks)

- c) What happens on calling the goal `p`, after the following program has been loaded into Prolog?

`p:- not(p).` (2 marks)

- d) In the context of logic programming, what is meant by *unification*? (2 marks)

- e) What happens when (SWI) Prolog is called with the goal

`X = f(X).`

and why does this represent a departure from the rule that `=/2` implements unification?

(2 marks)

- f) Explain the meaning of the pre-defined Prolog predicate `==/2`. What answers are given to the following two queries? Explain your answer.

`A = 6, B = 6, A == B.` (2 marks)
`A == B, A = 6, B = 6.`

- g) Write a predicate `myU/3` which, when called with the first two arguments instantiated to lists containing no duplicate terms, binds the third to a list containing the union of these sets of terms, with any duplicates removed. Non-ground terms, however, should not be unified. As an example:

`1 ?- myU([A, a, b, C], [b, a, C, D], L).` (4 marks)
`L = [A, b, a, C, D].`

- h) Using the pre-defined predicates `not/1` and `=/2`, define a predicate `canU(T1, T2)` which succeeds if `T1` and `T2` can be unified at the time of calling, but without actually unifying them. Thus:

```
1 ?- canU(A,B), A = 6, B = 7.  
A = 6,  
B = 7.
```

```
2 ?- A= 6, B= 7, canU(A,B).  
false.
```

(2 marks)

2. Planning and logic

In AI, the term *blocks world* denotes an imaginary situation where blocks a, b, c, \dots are stacked in neat, vertical piles on an infinite table; a manipulator is able to pick up one block at a time (if there is nothing on top of it) and place it either on top of another pile of blocks or on the table (thus starting a new pile). The aim is generally to find a sequence of actions that will achieve a given goal, e.g. a is directly on top of b and b is directly on top of c .

- a) Suppose a situation in the blocks world is represented in Prolog as a list of atoms, e.g.

```
[on(a,b), on(c,table), on(b,table), clear(a), clear(c)]
```

with the obvious interpretation. (A block is ‘clear’ if there is nothing on top of it.) Let a collection of goals be represented in the same way. Suppose the action of moving block X from Y (a block or the table) to Z (a block or the table) is represented by the Prolog term `move(X,Y,Z)`. Define in SWI Prolog a predicate `satisfied(Situation,Goals)` which determines whether a set of goals is satisfied in a given situation. Define also a predicate `effect(Sit1,Act,Sit2)` which binds `Sit2` to the result of performing the action `Act` in situation `Sit1`, failing if the action cannot be performed. You may use any SWI Prolog primitives.

(6 marks)

- b) Explain how planning in such a situation can be represented as the problem of searching a node-labelled tree. Make clear what information needs to be stored at nodes in order to (i) prevent looping; (ii) recover a plan when a situation is found satisfying the goals.

(4 marks)

- c) In the *situation calculus*, the blocks world may be modelled using a signature of predicates `on(x,y,s)`, `clear(x,s)`, where s is a variable representing situations, together with the function `move(x,y,z)` mapping blocks (or the table) to actions, and a binary function `do(a,s)` indicating the situation which results from performing action a in situation s (and representing some unspecified object if the action cannot be performed). Using the situation calculus, write axioms expressing the effects of the move-action.

(4 marks)

- d) Again using the situation calculus, write axioms expressing the *non-effects* of the move-action.

(4 marks)

- e) Explain how, in the context of the above representation scheme, finding a plan to achieve a given collection of goals in the blocks world can be understood as a theorem-proving task.

(2 marks)

3. Natural language semantics

- a) Consider the semantically annotated context-free grammar

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{IP}/\phi(\psi) &\rightarrow \text{NP}/\phi \text{ I}'/\psi \\
 \text{I}'/\phi &\rightarrow \text{I} \text{ VP}/\phi \\
 \text{VP}/\phi(\psi) &\rightarrow \text{V}/\phi \text{ NP}/\psi \\
 \text{NP}/\phi(\psi) &\rightarrow \text{Det}/\phi \text{ N}/\psi \\
 \text{I} &\rightarrow _s \\
 \text{V}/\lambda s \lambda x [\lambda y [\text{love}(x,y)]] &\rightarrow \textit{love}
 \end{aligned}$$

Add appropriate lexical rules to represent the meanings of the nouns *boy* and *girl* and the determiners *some* and *every*. (6 marks)

- b) Assuming a rule of verb-movement (which you may state informally) produce a derivation of the meaning of the string

Every boy loves some girl

showing the resulting phrase-structure. You should show your working and indicate clearly the process of verb-movement. (6 marks)

- c) Using the same signature as in parts (a) and (b), write a first-order formula expressing the meaning of the sentence

Every boy who some girl loves loves every girl.

(2 marks)

- d) Expand your grammar to account for such sentences as that in part (c), assuming a rule of wh-movement, which you should explain informally. You need not derive the answer given to part (c), but you should draw the phrase-structure of the subject noun-phrase, indicating the effect of wh-movement and the functioning of the associated trace. (6 marks)

4. Resolution theorem-proving

- a) Translate the following English sentences into first-order logic, using the signature of unary predicates a (artist), b (beekeeper) and the binary predicate h (hates):

No artist hates every beekeeper
Some beekeeper hates every artist.

(4 marks)

- b) Translate the following English sentence into first-order logic, using the same signature:

Some beekeeper is not an artist.

(2 marks)

- c) Put the answer to part (a) and the negation of the answer to part (b) into clausal form, introducing Skolem constants and functions as necessary. (4 marks)

- d) Demonstrate, using resolution theorem-proving, that the sentence in (b) follows from the sentences in (a). Show clearly all steps of the derivation. (10 marks)