Comments: The following details common mistakes

Question 1:
Students largely did well in this question.

Question 2:
A good number of students mix up IP fragmentation attack with IP overlapping attack.

Question 3:
For 3(a): Many missed out ‘consequences’ or mix up ‘consequences’ with ‘attack outcome’.
For 3(b): The question asks you to design a protocol, and present it formally, e.g. using the Kerberos protocol format, but some students, instead of designing a protocol, applied the Kerberos protocol, which is not what the question is about.

Question 4:
4(a): Some students gave ‘benefits for having AS and for having TGS’, rather than ‘benefits for separating them’.
4(b): Many failed to clearly explain what the two tickets and two authenticators are.
4(c): The discussions here should be given ‘in terms of protections against false denial of transmissions’, rather than general comparisons between the authenticators and RSA signatures.

Exam performance:
In total, 67 students sit in the exam.
Question 1: taken by 60 students, and the average mark is 70.5%;
Question 2: taken by 34 students, and the average mark is 58.2%;
Question 3: taken by 53 students, and the average mark is 63.5%;
Question 4: taken by 57 students, and the average mark is 61.80%;
The overall average mark = 63.5%.